

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/065,015	09/10/2002	Frederic Ngo Bui Hung	4590-014 (62561)	5730
33308	3308 7590 11/14/2003		EXAMINER	
LOWE HAUPTMAN GILMAN & BERNER, LLP 1700 DIAGNOSTIC ROAD, SUITE 300			CHEN, SHIH CHAO	
	IA, VA 22314	00	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2821	
			DATE MAILED: 11/14/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/065,015	NGO BUI HUNG ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Shih-Chao Chen	2821			
The N Period for Reply	MAILING DATE of this communication a y	appears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address			
THE MAILIN - Extensions of ti after SIX (6) Mo - If the period for - If NO period for - Failure to reply - Any reply receiv	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ime may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. or reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a is reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri- within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta- ved by the Office later than three months after the ma- erm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30).dod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro tute, cause the application to become ABANDOI	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠ Resp	onsive to communication(s) filed on 1	7 December 2002 .				
2a)∐ This a	action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
<u> </u>	s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion				
,	the above claim(s) is/are withd					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
<u> </u>	☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) <u>9-12</u> is/are objected to.					
	(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
· · ·	ecification is objected to by the Exami	iner				
·	awing(s) filed on <u>17 December 2002</u> is		d to by the Evaminer			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Ackno	wledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All	b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
1.🛛	Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.				
2.	Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Applica	ation No			
	Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International attached detailed Office action for a l	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·			
14) Acknow	ledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(e) (to a provisional application).			
	ne translation of the foreign language rledgment is made of a claim for dome	•				
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice of Draf	erences Cited (PTO-892) ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) isclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark O	ffice					

Application/Control Number: 10/065,015

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

1. In response to the communication dated September 10, 2002 through December 17, 2002, claims 1-12 are active in this application.

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

3. Figure 1-3 and 5 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

4. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Objections

5. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "Antenna system" should be changed to --An antenna system--. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2821

- 6. Claims 2-7 are objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "Antenna system" should be changed to --The antenna system--. Appropriate correction is required.
- 7. Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities: "Method" should be changed to --A method--. Appropriate correction is required.
- 8. Claims 10-12 are objected to because of the following informalities: "Method" should be changed to --The method--. Appropriate correction is required.
- 9. Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "the frequency range from 1.5 to 30" should be changed to --the frequency range from 1.5 to 30 MHz--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 10. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 11. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 12. Regarding claim 1, the phrase "adapted to" renders the claim indefinite because it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138.

Application/Control Number: 10/065,015

Art Unit: 2821

- 13. Regarding claims 3 and 6, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).
- 14. Claim 8 provides for the use of the system, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 15. Claims 9-11 are objected.
- 16. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the primary reason for the allowance of claims 9-12 is the inclusion of the method steps being transmit the tuning parameters of the master radiating structure to the slave radiating structures, vary at least one of the turning parameters so that they converge and to obtain tuning. It is these steps found in each of the claims, as it is claimed in the combination, that has not been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record which makes these claims allowable over the prior art.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shih-Chao Chen whose telephone number is (703) 306-2721. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7 AM to 4:30 PM, First Fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on (703) 308-4856. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-5841 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Shih-Vhuo Chen Shih-Chao Chen Examiner

Art Unit 2821

SXC

November 5, 2003